

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/627,290 | 07/25/2003 | Kutay F. Ustuner | 2003P05316US | 4272 | |
| 7590 02/07/2006 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| Siemens Corporation | | | JAWORSKI, FRANCIS J | | |
| Intellectual Property Department | | | | | |
| 170 Wood Avenue South | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Iselin, NJ 08830 | | | 3737 | | |

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/627,290 | USTUNER ET AL | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Jaworski Francis J. | 3737 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 N | ovembe <u>r 2005</u> . | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1,3-13,20-22,25,31 and 32 is/are pen | ding in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-13,20-22 and 25</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31 and 32</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | te atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office | 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/627,290

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 3 - 13, 20-22 and 25 are allowable over the prior art of record.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 31 – 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamaquchi et al(US4631710) or Roundhill et al (US6283919).

Yamaguchi et al axially processes ultrasound echo data along scanlines using variable bandpass filtering as a function of axial direction of these scanlines and with bandpass center frequency adapted as a function of axial depth and is therefore characterizable as an adaptive sidelobe or grating lobe suppression technique.

Roundhill et al processes ultrasound data axially along scanlines with adaptive blended data processing effected by switched or parallel digital filtering responsive to axial processing depth in order to employ harmonic echo information at least in the near field where the harmonic effectively has lower sidelobes, such that this depth-dependent blending process of Fig. 14 is fairly characterizable as an adaptive sidelobe suppression technique.

Art Unit: 3737

This action is not made final however the case should be prepared for final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jaworski Francis J. at telephone number 571-272-4738.

FJJ:fjj

02022006

Francis J. Jaworski Primary Examiner